

Southwest Regional Transportation Planning Organization (RTPO) Meeting
<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/81316022690>

May 5, 2026
10:00 a.m.
AGENDA

- I. Welcome and Introductions
- II. Approval/Amendments of Agenda
- III. Approval of Minutes from December 18, 2025
- IV. New Business
 - a. Transportation Project Fund Rating & Ranking – 5 minutes each
- V. Reports
 - a. New Mexico Department of Transportation (NMDOT)
 - b. Member Updates on Transportation Jurisdiction
 - c. Regional Transportation Planning Organization (RTPO) Planner
- VI. Public Input
- VII. Next RTPO Meeting – TBD
- VIII. Adjournment

**SOUTHWEST NEW MEXICO REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLANNING
ORGANIZATION
TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE
VIRTUAL MEETING**

**Minutes
December 18, 2025
10:30 a.m.**

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Peter Pena, Town of Silver City
Joseph Holguin, Grant County
David Bailey, Luna County
Heather Lutz, Luna County
Felipe Figueroa, City of Deming
Jeremy Gervais, City of Deming
Kim Dominguez, Corre Caminos
George Esqueda, Stantec
Crisse Grijalva, SWNMCOG
Priscilla Lucero, SWNMCOG
Valerie Sherman, NMDOT
Vijay Ummadi, NMDOT

1. Welcome and Introductions:

Peter called the meeting to order at 10:35 a.m.

2. Approval/Amendments of Agenda:

Joseph moved to approve the agenda. David seconded the motion. All in favor, motion carried.

3. Approval of minutes from May 13, 2025 meeting:

Joseph moved to approve the May 13, 2025 meeting minutes. David seconded the motion. All in favor, motion carried.

4. New Business:

a. Corre Caminos Transit Application Rating & Ranking – Vijay gave an overview of the transit programs and the application process. Kim then presented on Corre Caminos application. Members then filled out the ranking sheet.

5. Reports:

a. NMDOT – There were no updates from NMDOT.

b. Member Updates – Joseph mentioned an upcoming Colonias project to begin construction which will be road widening and resurfacing on Little Walnut Road to the picnic grounds. There is also another Colonias project on Arenas Valley Road up to Dragon Fly Trail that will begin construction this upcoming Spring.

c. Regional Transportation Planning Organization Planner – Cerisse stated the call for projects for BUILD grant is open and applications are due February 24, 2026. There is the Local Government Planning fund through NMFA available as well as the Federal Match Fund through NM DFA. She also stated Debbie Hudson sent out the new PFF form for TPF that should be announced in January or February and if anyone is interested in TPF this upcoming round that she will be accepting PFFs at this time. Priscilla then mentioned all capital outlay requests have been submitted and the dollar amount will be the same for the legislators. The letters in intent are to be released for Colonias and public hearings for NM Unit Fund policies will be coming up. She also stated more information on NMGRO will be during or after legislative session.

7. Public Input:

There was no public input.

8. Next RTPO Meeting:

Will notify members of the next meeting.

9. Adjourn:

Joseph made a motion to adjourn. David seconded the motion. All in favor, motion carried. Meeting adjourned at 11:02 a.m.

ATTEST:

Peter Pena, Chairman

Cerisse Grijalva, Secretary

TPF Applications

ENTITY	PROJECT TITLE
Grant County	Ridge Rd Pt 2 Design
Deming	Gold Ave Improvements
Silver City	Swan St Construction
Luna County	Inter. Industrial Park Gateway Ph 2 Design
Luna County	Hermanas Road Phase 1 A/B
Bayard	Royal Street Design Improvements
Lordsburg	A Street Construction

PROJECT:		SCORING	SCORE
Entity Rating:	CRITERIA		
	SAFETY		
	Improve the safety of the existing transportation system (Max of 10 points)	(select one)	
	Improves 3 of the following: Increases flow of traffic, decrease number of accidents/collisions, increase visibility, infrastructure improvements such as roundabouts, road diet, flood control, drains, e. etc.	10	
	Improves 1 of the following: Increases flow of traffic, decrease number of accidents/collisions, increase visibility, infrastructure improvements such as roundabouts, road diet, etc.	5	
	Maintains safety as is. Has no change in traffic flow, accidents/collisions, visibility, no infrastructure improvements.	2	
	ADA	(select one)	
	Project complies and addresses ADA requirements	5	
	Project does not address not comply with ADA requirements	0	
	COMMUNITY & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT		
	Enhances the region's attractiveness to new and existing businesses (2 points each line, Max of 10 points)	2 points each	
	Enhances region's Mainstreet and/or fits within the overall area Master Plan Development		
	Support, enhance, or improve regional food system stability, scenic byways, and outdoor recreation assets		
	Enhance access to significant historic locations and/or regional or local placemaking/wayfinding goals		
	Improves access to distribution of goods/services		
	Supports the creation or expansion of freight-intensive businesses and associated employment		
	Support, enhance, or improve regional outdoor recreation assets (Max of 10 points)	(select one)	
	Project adds/improves bicycle lanes, sidewalks, trails, and access to outdoor recreation	10	
	Project is adjacent to bicycle lanes, sidewalks, trails, and access to outdoor recreation but does not tie in directly	5	
	Project does not support or enhance outdoor recreation assets	0	
	MULTI-USE		
	Effectively connect with existing bicycle/pedestrian facilities (Max of 10 points)	(select one)	
	Project connects to existing facilities such as sidewalks, trails, and/or bicycle lanes and decreases gaps in the system	10	
	Does not connect to existing facilities	5	
	ENVIRONMENT		
	Improves the resiliency of the transportation system to natural disruptions (Max of 5 points)	(select one)	
	Encourages the efficient use of non-renewable energy sources and/or promotes alternatives and reduces emissions	5	
	Does not encourage efficient use on non-renewable energy sources and does not reduce emission	0	

RTPO**RTPO Participation (Max of 10 points)**

Participates in Southwest RTPO Meetings (at least 3 meetings), plans, and processes throughout the year
 Participates in less than 3 meetings, plans, and processes
 No participation in meetings but does participate in plans and processes
 No participation except for call for projects

(select one)
 10
 5
 2
 0

Amount of time project listed on the SWRTIPR (Max of 10 points)

Project has been reviewed with NMDOT and has been placed on the RTIPR between 5 to 10 years
 Project has been reviewed with NMDOT and has been on the RTIPR between 6 months to 5 years
 Project is new or less than 6 months and have been reviewed with NMDOT

(select one)
 10
 5
 2

PROJECT READINESS**Project Phase (Max of 15 points)**

Shovel Ready
 the project is advertisement ready, and ready to go out for bid within 3 months of being awarded
 Project shovel ready within 6 months to 1 years of funding
 Project shovel ready within 1 to 1.5 years
 Engineering & Design
 This project is for engineering & design only. Previously developed via an existing contract agreement with an engineering firm
 Does not have an existing contract agreement in place
 Planning Only. New project < 1 year

(select one)
 15
 10
 5
 15
 10
 5

Right-of-Way (Max of 10 points)

Owens right-of-way
 Does not own right-of-way but has letter of support or commitment from owners
 Does not own right-of-way and does not have letter of support or commitment from owners

(select one)
 10
 5
 0

Environmental (Max of 5 points)

Has environmental clearance done
 Does not have environmental clearance done

(select one)
 5
 0

Match Capabilities/Requirements (Max of 5 points)

Applicant is capable and will be providing the 5% match with signed resolution
 Applicant will apply for the match waiver with signed waiver request

(select one)
 5
 2

Supporting Documents (Max of 5 points)

(select one)

Applicant has resolution of sponsorship, letters of support, and project is listed in local/regional plans such as SW RTP, CEDS, Comp. Plan, BMP, etc.	5
Applicant has resolution of sponsorship	2
Applicant has no supporting documents for this project	0
Previously Awarded through TPF ? (Max of 5 points)	(select one)
Entity did not receive funding for a project through TPF last year	5
-this ensures all members receive a chance at receiving funding through this program	
Entity did receive funding for a project through TPF last year	2
Is this project due to an urgent need and/or a declaration of emergency? (Max of 20 points)	(select one)
Yes	20
No	1
What makes your project important/unique? Address the importance and greatest benefits of the project to your community. (Max of 15 points)	(Select any number 1 - 15)
Possible Points / Total Points	155

**Indicates notes from RTPO =